#### Metastable versus Deterministic: Time of extinction of an Infinite System of Spiking Neurons

Neuromat young researchers workshop

Morgan André

USP-IME

November 26, 2019

#### Description of the model

The model we are interested in is composed of:

- A countable set I representing the neurons.
- ▶ For each neuron  $i \in I$ , a set  $\mathbb{V}_i \subset I$  of *presynaptic neurons*.
- ► For each  $i \in I$ , two point processes  $(N_i^*(t))_{t\geq 0}$  and  $(N_i^{\dagger}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  representing *spiking times* and *total leak times* respectively.
- For each i ∈ I, a real-valued process (X<sub>i</sub>(t))<sub>t≥0</sub> representing the membrane potential of neuron i.

Spiking times, leaking times and membrane potential

The point process  $(N_i^{\dagger}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process of some rate  $\gamma\geq 0$ .

The point process  $\left(N_i^*(t)\right)_{t\geq 0}$  is characterized by the property that for any  $s\leq t$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(N_i^*(t)-N_i^*(s)|\mathscr{F}_s\Big)=\int_s^t\mathbb{E}\Big(\phi_i(X_i(u))|\mathscr{F}_s\Big)du,$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Where

$$X_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{V}_i} \int_{]L_i(t),t[} dN_j^*(s),$$
  
and  $L_i(t) = \sup\left\{s \le t : N_i^*(\{s\}) + N_i^\dagger(\{s\}) = 1
ight\}$ 

 $(\phi_i)_{i \in I}$  is a collection of rate functions.

## One dimensional lattice with hard threshold

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Spiking times, leaking times and membrane potential

The point process  $(N_i^{\dagger}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process of some rate  $\gamma\geq 0$ .

The point process  $\left(N_i^*(t)\right)_{t\geq 0}$  is characterized by the property that for any  $s\leq t$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(N_i^*(t)-N_i^*(s)|\mathscr{F}_s\Big)=\int_s^t\mathbb{E}\Big(\mathbbm{1}_{X_i(u)>0}|\mathscr{F}_s)\Big)du,$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Where

$$X_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{V}_i} \int_{]L_i(t),t[} dN_j^*(s),$$
  
and  $L_i(t) = \sup \Big\{ s \le t : N_i^*(\{s\}) + N_i^{\dagger}(\{s\}) = 1 \Big\}.$ 

Spiking times, leaking times and membrane potential

The point process  $(N_i^{\dagger}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process of some rate  $\gamma \geq 0$ .

The point process  $\left(N_i^*(t)\right)_{t\geq 0}$  is characterized by the property that for any  $s\leq t$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(N_i^*(t)-N_i^*(s)|\mathscr{F}_s\Big)=\int_s^t\mathbb{E}\Big(\mathbb{1}_{X_i(u)>0}|\mathscr{F}_s)\Big)du,$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Where

$$X_{i}(t) = \int_{]L_{i}(t),t[} dN_{i-1}^{*}(s) + \int_{]L_{i}(t),t[} dN_{i+1}^{*}(s),$$
  
and  $L_{i}(t) = \sup \Big\{ s \le t : N_{i}^{*}(\{s\}) + N_{i}^{\dagger}(\{s\}) = 1 \Big\}.$ 

The choice of  $\mathbb{1}_{x>0}$  as the rate function has the important consequence that any given neuron can essentially be in only two states at any given time.

- When X<sub>i</sub>(t) = 0 (or equivalently when N<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub>(t) has rate 0), we say that neuron i is quiescent.
- When  $X_i(t) \ge 1$  (or equivalently when  $N_i^*(t)$  has rate 1), we say that neuron *i* is *active*.

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

#### Phase transition

#### Theorem (P. Ferrari et al.)

Suppose that for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $X_i(0) \ge 1$ . There exists a critical value  $\gamma_c$  for the parameter  $\gamma$ , with  $0 < \gamma_c < \infty$ , such that for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\mathsf{N}^*_i([0,\infty[) < \infty\Big) = 1 \quad \textit{if } \gamma > \gamma_c,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(N_i^*([0,\infty[) = \infty\Big) > 0 \quad \text{ if } \gamma < \gamma_c.$$

# Sub-critical regime: A result of metastability

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

For some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we set  $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , and we write  $(X_i^N(t))_{t\geq 0}$  for the membrane potential of neuron *i* in the version of the model defined on the finite set  $I_N$ .

We define the extinction time of this model

$$\sigma_N = \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : X_i^N(t) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N] \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (Metastability)

If  $\gamma < \gamma_{\rm c}$ , then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\sigma_N}{\mathbb{E}(\sigma_N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{E}(1).$$

We consider an important auxiliary process, namely the *spiking* rates process. Denoted  $(\xi(t))_{t\geq 0}$  and defined as follows

 $\forall t \geq 0, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \xi_i(t) = \mathbb{1}_{X_i(t)>0}.$ 

This process is an *interacting particle system*. It is a continuous time Markov process taking value in  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Each possible state is a doubly infinite sequence of 0 and 1, indicating in which state (quiescent or active) each neuron is.

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

#### The infinitesimal generator

The generator of the process  $(\xi(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is given by

$$\mathscr{L}f(\eta) = \gamma \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( f(\pi_i^{\dagger}(\eta)) - f(\eta) \right) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_i \Big( f(\pi_i(\eta)) - f(\eta) \Big),$$

where the maps are given by

$$\pi_i^{\dagger}(\eta)_j = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } j=i, \ \eta_j & ext{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi_i(\eta)_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = i, \\ \max(\eta_i, \eta_j) & \text{if } j \in \{i - 1, i + 1\}, \\ \eta_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

For some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we set  $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , and we write  $(X_i^N(t))_{t\geq 0}$  for the membrane potential of neuron *i* in the version of the model defined on the finite set  $I_N$ .

We define the extinction time of this model

$$\sigma_N = \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : X_i^N(t) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N] \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (Metastability)

If  $\gamma < \gamma_{\rm c}$ , then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\sigma_N}{\mathbb{E}(\sigma_N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{E}(1).$$

For some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we set  $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , and we write  $(\xi_N(t))_{t \ge 0}$  for the spiking rate process restricted to the finite set  $I_N$ .

We define the extinction time of this model

$$\sigma_N = \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : X_i^N(t) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N] \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (Metastability)

If  $\gamma < \gamma_{\rm c}$ , then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\sigma_N}{\mathbb{E}(\sigma_N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{E}(1).$$

For some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we set  $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , and we write  $(\xi_N(t))_{t \ge 0}$  for the spiking rate process restricted to the finite set  $I_N$ .

We define the extinction time of the spiking rate process

$$au_{N} = \inf \Big\{ t \geq 0 : \xi_{N}(t)_{i} = 0 ext{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N] \Big\}.$$

#### Theorem (Metastability) If $\gamma < \gamma_c$ , then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\sigma_N}{\mathbb{E}(\sigma_N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{E}(1).$$

For some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we set  $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , and we write  $(\xi_N(t))_{t \ge 0}$  for the spiking rate process restricted to the finite set  $I_N$ .

We define the extinction time of the spiking rate process

$$au_{\mathsf{N}} = \inf \Big\{ t \geq 0 : \xi_{\mathsf{N}}(t)_i = 0 ext{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}] \Big\}.$$

#### Theorem (Metastability)

If  $\gamma < \gamma_{\rm c}$ , then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\tau_{\mathsf{N}}}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_{\mathsf{N}})} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{E}(1).$$

For some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we set  $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , and we write  $(\xi_N(t))_{t \ge 0}$  for the spiking rate process restricted to the finite set  $I_N$ .

We define the extinction time of the spiking rate process

$$au_{N} = \inf \Big\{ t \geq 0 : \xi_{N}(t)_{i} = 0 ext{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N] \Big\}.$$

#### Theorem (Metastability)

If  $\gamma < \gamma_c'$ , then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\tau_{\mathsf{N}}}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_{\mathsf{N}})} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{E}(1).$$

# Super-critical regime: An asymptotically deterministic time of extinction

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへの

#### The result

## Theorem Suppose that $\gamma > \gamma_c$ . Then the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

#### The result

## Theorem Suppose that $\gamma > 1$ . Then the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

How we prove it

#### Proposition

Suppose that  $\gamma > 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $0 < C < \infty$  depending on  $\gamma$  such that the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} C.$$

#### Proposition

Suppose that  $\gamma > 1$ . Then the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)}{\log(2N+1)} \underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} C,$$

where C is the same constant as in the previous proposition.

### Simulations

d-dimensional lattices and various activation functions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

For the graph of the network we consider the lattices  $\mathbb{Z}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ . For any  $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the norm on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  given for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  by

$$\|j\|=\sum_{k=1}^d |j_k|,$$

where  $j_k$  is the k-th coordinate of j. The structure of the network is then given by  $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\mathbb{V}_i = \{j \in I^d : ||i - j|| = 1\}$  for  $i \in I$ .

#### Activation functions

The hard threshold  $\phi(x) = \mathbb{1}_{x>0}$ .

The linear function  $\phi(x) = x$ .

The sigmoid function

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} (1 + e^{-3x+6})^{-1} & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

◆□ → < @ → < ≥ → < ≥ → ○ < ○ </p>

#### Simulations for the hard threshold



Figure: Sub-critical simulation for dimension 1, 2 and 3.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲□ ▶

#### Simulations for the linear function



Figure: Simulations for a linear activation function in the lattices of dimension 1, 2 and 3.

#### Simulations for the sigmoid function



Figure: Simulations for a sigmoid activation function in the lattices of dimension 1, 2 and 3.

## Simulations for a varying number of neurons in super-critical regime



Figure: Simulations for a hard-threshold function in the lattice of dimension 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへで



## Thanks!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?