

Asymptotically Deterministic Time of Extinction for a Stochastic System of Spiking Neurons

Morgan André

USP-IME

October 8, 2019

Description of the model

The model we are interested in is composed of:

- ▶ A countable set I representing the *neurons*.
- ▶ For each neuron $i \in I$, a set $\mathbb{V}_i \subset I$ of *presynaptic neurons*.
- ▶ For each $i \in I$, two point processes $(N_i^*(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(N_i^\dagger(t))_{t \geq 0}$ representing *spiking times* and *total leak times* respectively.
- ▶ For each $i \in I$, a real-valued process $(X_i(t))_{t \geq 0}$ representing the *membrane potential* of neuron i .

Spiking times, leaking times and membrane potential

The point process $(N_i^\dagger(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Poisson process of some rate $\gamma \geq 0$.

The point process $(N_i^*(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is characterized by the property that for any $s \leq t$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(N_i^*(t) - N_i^*(s) | \mathcal{F}_s\right) = \int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_i(X_i(u)) | \mathcal{F}_s\right) du,$$

Where

$$X_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{V}_i} \int_{]L_i(t), t[} dN_j^*(s),$$

and $L_i(t) = \sup \left\{ s \leq t : N_i^*(\{s\}) + N_i^\dagger(\{s\}) = 1 \right\}$.

$(\phi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a collection of rate functions.

One dimensional lattice with nearest neighbour interaction and hard threshold

Spiking times, leaking times and membrane potential

The point process $(N_i^\dagger(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Poisson process of some rate $\gamma \geq 0$.

The point process $(N_i^*(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is characterized by the property that for any $s \leq t$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(N_i^*(t) - N_i^*(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) = \int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X_i(u) > 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) du,$$

Where

$$X_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{V}_i} \int_{]L_i(t), t[} dN_j^*(s),$$

and $L_i(t) = \sup \left\{ s \leq t : N_i^*(\{s\}) + N_i^\dagger(\{s\}) = 1 \right\}$.

Spiking times, leaking times and membrane potential

The point process $(N_i^\dagger(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Poisson process of some rate $\gamma \geq 0$.

The point process $(N_i^*(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is characterized by the property that for any $s \leq t$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(N_i^*(t) - N_i^*(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) = \int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X_i(u) > 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) du,$$

Where

$$X_i(t) = \int_{]L_i(t), t[} dN_{i-1}^*(s) + \int_{]L_i(t), t[} dN_{i+1}^*(s),$$

and $L_i(t) = \sup \left\{ s \leq t : N_i^*(\{s\}) + N_i^\dagger(\{s\}) = 1 \right\}$.

Phase transition

Theorem 1 (P. Ferrari et al.)

Suppose that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $X_i(0) \geq 1$. There exists a critical value γ_c for the parameter γ , with $0 < \gamma_c < \infty$, such that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(N_i^*([0, \infty[) < \infty\right) = 1 \quad \text{if } \gamma > \gamma_c,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(N_i^*([0, \infty[) = \infty\right) > 0 \quad \text{if } \gamma < \gamma_c.$$

Result of Metastability

For some $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we set $I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$, and we write $(X_i^N(t))_{t \geq 0}$ for the membrane potential of neuron i in the version of the model defined on the finite set I_N .

We define the *extinction time* of this model

$$\tau_N = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : X_i^N(t) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N] \right\}.$$

Theorem 2 (M. André)

If $\gamma < \gamma_c$, then we have the following convergence

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{E}(1).$$

Active and quiescent

The choice of $\mathbb{1}_{x>0}$ as the rate function has the important consequence that any given neuron can essentially be in only two states at any given time.

- ▶ When $X_i(t) = 0$ (or equivalently when $N_i^*(t)$ has rate 0), we say that neuron i is *quiescent*.
- ▶ When $X_i(t) \geq 1$ (or equivalently when $N_i^*(t)$ has rate 1), we say that neuron i is *active*.

The spiking rate process

We consider an important auxiliary process, namely the *spiking rates process*. Denoted $(\xi(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and defined as follows

$$\forall t \geq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \xi_i(t) = \mathbb{1}_{X_i(t) > 0}.$$

This process is an *interacting particle system*. It is a continuous time Markov process taking value in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Each possible state is a doubly infinite sequence of 0 and 1, indicating in which state (quiescent or active) each neuron is.

The infinitesimal generator

The generator of the process $(\xi(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}f(\eta) = \gamma \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(f(\pi_i^\dagger(\eta)) - f(\eta) \right) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_i \left(f(\pi_i(\eta)) - f(\eta) \right),$$

where the maps are given by

$$\pi_i^\dagger(\eta)_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = i, \\ \eta_j & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi_i(\eta)_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = i, \\ \max(\eta_i, \eta_j) & \text{if } j \in \{i-1, i+1\}, \\ \eta_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

What we want to prove

Theorem 3

Suppose that $\gamma > \gamma_c$. Then the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 1.$$

What we want to prove

Theorem 4

Suppose that $\gamma > 1$. Then the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 1.$$

How we prove it

Proposition 5

Suppose that $\gamma > 1$. Then there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ depending on γ such that the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} C.$$

Proposition 6

Suppose that $\gamma > 1$. Then the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)}{\log(2N+1)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} C,$$

where C is the same constant as in the previous proposition.

Proof of Proposition 5

Notice that we have the following

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t+s) \neq \emptyset \mid \xi^0(t) \neq \emptyset) \geq \mathbb{P}(\xi^0(s) \neq \emptyset).$$

Which can be rewritten

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t+s) \neq \emptyset) \geq \mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) \neq \emptyset) \mathbb{P}(\xi^0(s) \neq \emptyset).$$

Writing $f(t) = \log(\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) \neq \emptyset))$, the previous line implies that f is superadditive. Therefore, by a well-known result (Fekete lemma), we have

$$\frac{f(t)}{t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} -C', \tag{1}$$

where $C' = -\sup_{s>0} \frac{f(s)}{s}$.

Proof of Proposition 5

Notice that we also have for any $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) \neq \emptyset) \leq e^{-C't}. \quad (2)$$

It is crucial to ensure that $0 < C' < \infty$. The $C' < \infty$ part is immediate from the inequality above but the inequality $C' > 0$ requires a little bit of work.

Proof of Proposition 5

Let $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denote a continuous time branching process with birth rate 1 and death rate γ .

We can realize a coupling between $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and our process $(\xi^0(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in such a way that $|\xi_t^0| \leq Z_t$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Then it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) \neq \emptyset) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_t \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E}(Z_t) = e^{-(\gamma-1)t}.$$

This last inequality implies that $C' \geq \gamma - 1$, so that $C' > 0$ whenever $\gamma > 1$.

Proof of Proposition 5

We're aimed to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$ the following holds

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} - \frac{1}{C'} > \epsilon \right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} - \frac{1}{C'} < -\epsilon \right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (4)$$

Proof of Proposition 5 : equation (3)

Let's do the easy part! We have

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_N(t) \neq \emptyset) \leq (2N+1)\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) \neq \emptyset) \leq (2N+1)e^{-C't}. \quad (5)$$

Now take $t = (\frac{1}{C'} + \epsilon) \log(2N+1)$ and you get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} - \frac{1}{C'} > \epsilon\right) = P(\xi_N(t) \neq \emptyset) \leq e^{-C'\epsilon \log(2N+1)}.$$

It goes to 0 when N diverges as $C' > 0$.

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

Now the not so easy part. For some constant K to be fixed later and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define:

$$F_k = \{(2k-1)K \log(2N+1), \dots, (2k+1)K \log(2N+1)\}.$$

We also define the set of integers

$$I_N = \mathbb{Z} \cap \left[-\frac{N}{2K \log(2N+1)}, \frac{N}{2K \log(2N+1)} \right],$$

and the following configuration

$$A_N = \{2kK \log(2N+1) \text{ for } k \in I_N\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

We then consider a modification of the process $(\xi_N(t))_{t \geq 0}$ where all neurons at the border of one of the sub-windows F_k defined above are fixed in quiescent state. This modified process is denoted $(\zeta_N(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

For any fixed time $t > 0$, we define the following event

$$E_t = \left\{ (\xi_s^0)_{0 \leq s \leq t} \text{ stays inside } \{-K \log(2N+1), \dots, K \log(2N+1)\} \right\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

Now for N big enough and for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\xi_N(t) = \emptyset) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}(\zeta_N^{A_N}(t) = \emptyset) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(\zeta_N^0(t) = \emptyset)^{(2N+1)/(2K \log(2N+1))} \\ & \leq \left(\mathbb{P}(\zeta_N^0(t) = \emptyset \cap E_t) + \mathbb{P}(E_t^c) \right)^{(2N+1)/(2K \log(2N+1))} \\ & \leq \left(\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) = \emptyset) + \mathbb{P}(E_t^c) \right)^{(2N+1)/(2K \log(2N+1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

Now it only remains to find a suitable bound for $\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) = \emptyset)$ and for $\mathbb{P}(E_t^c)$.

For $\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) = \emptyset)$, we take write $\epsilon' = C'\epsilon$, and notice that $\frac{f(t)}{t} \geq -(1 + \epsilon')C$ for big enough t , which can be written

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_t^0 = \emptyset) \leq 1 - e^{-(1+\epsilon')C't}.$$

Now take $t = (\frac{1}{C'} - \epsilon) \log(2N + 1) = \frac{1}{C'} (1 - \epsilon') \log(2N + 1)$, to get

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_t^0 = \emptyset) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{(2N + 1)^{1-\epsilon'^2}}. \quad (6)$$

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

For $\mathbb{P}(E_t^c)$, we denote $r_t = \max \xi_t^0$ and we let $(M(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an homogeneous Poisson process of parameter 1. We have for any $m \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} r_s \geq m\right) \leq \mathbb{P}(M(t) \geq m).$$

Moreover $\mathbb{E}(e^{M(t)}) = e^{t(e-1)}$, so by Markov inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} r_s \geq K' t\right) &\leq e^{t(e-1-K')} \\ &\leq e^{t(2-K')},\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

Now taking again $t = \frac{1}{C'} (1 - \epsilon') \log(2N + 1)$ and $K' = 2(1 + C')$ we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{s \leq t} r_s \geq m \right) \leq e^{-2(1-\epsilon') \log(2N+1)},$$

and assuming without loss of generality that $\epsilon' < \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{s \leq t} r_s \geq m \right) \leq \frac{1}{2N + 1}. \quad (7)$$

Proof of Proposition 5 (equation 4)

It is now possible to fix the value of the constant K :

$$K = \inf \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } x \geq \frac{K'}{C'} \text{ and } x \log(2N+1) \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

With these bounds in mind we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\xi_N(t) = \emptyset) \\ & \leq \left(\mathbb{P}(\xi^0(t) = \emptyset) + \mathbb{P}(E_t^c) \right)^{(2N+1)/(2K \log(2N+1))} \\ & \leq \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{(2N+1)^{1-\epsilon'^2}} - \frac{2}{2N+1} \right) \right)^{(2N+1)/(2K \log(2N+1))}. \end{aligned}$$

And this is easily proven to go to 0 when N goes to ∞ .

Proof of Proposition 6

It remains to prove that for $\gamma > 1$ we also have

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)}{\log(2N+1)} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} C.$$

It is well-known that the fact that a sequence of random variables $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in probability to some random variable X doesn't necessarily implies that $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Nonetheless this implication holds true with the additional assumption that the sequence is uniformly integrable, i.e. if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}(|X_n| \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n| > M\}}) \right) = 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 6

We have the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} > M \right\}} \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} > \max(t, M) \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \frac{\tau_N}{\log(2N+1)} > M \right\}} \right) \leq 3^{1-C'M} \left[M + \frac{1}{C' \log(3)} \right].$$

THE END

Thanks!